

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/564,989	SOMMARIVA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARK L. SHEVIN	1793	

All Participants:

(1) MARK L. SHEVIN.

(2) Mr. Burton A. Amernick.

Date of Interview: 30 April 2009

Status of Application: Pending first action on the merits

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:00 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

I called Mr. Amernick to discuss an Examiner's Amendment to the claims to overcome 112 2nd issues of indefiniteness and allow the claims. Mr. Amernick stated that he would have to check with his clients to get their approval.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mark L. Shevin/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)